

curriculum studies (in the) Now transformations and possibilities

by Petro du Preez and ChrisReddy · Language: DE

Summary by booksummaryai.com — <https://booksummaryai.com/country/de/curriculum-studies-in-the-now-transformations-and-possibilities-zusammenfassung>

Summary

In 60 Sekunden

This edited collection asks what curriculum studies was, what it has become, and what it could yet be. The result is less a textbook than a provocation: curriculum is not a settled document but a live, contested practice that each of us shapes now.

Warum es sich lohnt

If you teach, design courses, or set policy, this book hands you the field's sharpest current questions in one place. It matters because curriculum decisions made today will define what the next generation knows and values. Read it if you work in teacher education, higher education, or schooling and want scholarship that names its own blind spots while imagining better futures.

Die Kernideen

Curriculum studies in the present tense

The book's framing move is to ask what curriculum studies is now, in this moment, with all its unfinished business on the table. The stakes are high, because a field that cannot describe its present cannot shape its future.

The book's framing move is temporal. Curriculum studies is treated not as a fixed canon but as a field caught between what it inherited and what it owes the future. That stance lets the editors hold two truths at once: the field has matured into a recognised discipline, and it remains unsettled enough to reinvent itself. Readers expecting definitions will find questions instead, which is the point.

Probier es aus: Write one sentence describing your field or role in the present tense, then list what it still owes the future.

Decolonisation is curriculum work, not slogan work

The book's decolonisation chapters press toward honesty: decolonisation as sustained intellectual labour rather than a compliance exercise.

What surprises readers is the insistence that decolonising curriculum cannot be outsourced to policy documents or one-off workshops. It requires rethinking the relationship between knowledge, power and who gets to authorise what is taught. The chapters treat this as ongoing curriculum work with no finish line, demanding that academics examine their own disciplines' assumptions from the inside.

Probier es aus: Audit one course you teach or take: whose knowledge anchors it, and what would change if the anchor shifted?

Knowledge matters: the epistemic turn

Scholars in these chapters argue that curriculum studies must take knowledge seriously, distinguishing powerful knowledge from everyday experience without dismissing either. The outcome is a field better equipped to say why some knowledge belongs in schools.

The insight is that social justice and knowledge are inseparable, not opposed. Denying learners access to systematic, powerful knowledge is itself an injustice, yet that knowledge must be selected and justified, not merely inherited. This position surprises readers who assume progressive means knowledge-light, and it gives curriculum makers a principled basis for hard choices about content.

Probier es aus: For one topic you teach, name the powerful knowledge it should open up, and check whether your materials actually deliver it.

Curriculum in higher education: faculties as curriculum makers

The outcome is a portrait of lecturers as curriculum makers whose choices deserve scrutiny and support.

The surprise is how much curriculum happens in rooms students never see. Recognising academics as curriculum makers reframes staff development as curriculum development, not an administrative afterthought.

Probier es aus: Attend or request the minutes of one curriculum committee in your institution and note what drives its decisions.

Teachers as curriculum interpreters, not deliverers

The teacher education chapters show that no policy teaches itself. The outcome is a case for preparing teachers as thoughtful interpreters with professional judgement.

This reframes teacher education: the goal is not compliance with documents but cultivated judgement about how documents meet particular learners. That view surprises anyone who imagines curriculum implementation as delivery. It also explains why identical policies produce such different classrooms, and why investing in teachers' interpretive capacity pays off more than another policy rewrite.

Probier es aus: Pick one curriculum document you use and write down three interpretive choices you made that the document never specified.

Curriculum studies as a South African project with global reach

The outcome is confidence, a curriculum studies community that knows where it stands and where it could go.

The insight is that context is not a limitation but a source of theory. Reading it elsewhere is not charity; it is engagement with theory made under pressure.

Probier es aus: Identify one local constraint in your context and ask what theoretical insight it forces that comfortable contexts miss.

Kritik

As an edited collection, the chapters vary in voice and depth, and readers wanting a single sustained argument or a step-by-step framework will need to assemble one themselves. The South African focus, while a strength, means readers elsewhere must do the work of translating contexts. Some debates assume familiarity with curriculum studies literature, which may challenge newcomers. The book raises futures and possibilities more than it resolves them, which some will find energising and others frustrating.

In einem Satz

Curriculum is not a document to deliver but a live, contested practice that scholars, teachers and students are making right now, and what it becomes depends on the choices we make next.

Für wen sich das Buch eignet

Teacher educators, higher education lecturers involved in programme design, and curriculum policy workers will find this collection directly useful. Scholars and students of curriculum studies, especially those interested in decolonisation and knowledge debates, should read it too.